

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/583,613	06/20/2006	Karl Schmidt	NAESSENS 201-KFM	6055	
10037 MILDE & HC	7590 10/15/200 FFBERG, LLP	EXAMINER			
10 BANK STI		MOK, ALEX W			
SUITE 460 WHITE PLAI	NS, NY 10606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2834		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/583,613		SCHMIDT ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ALEX W. MOK	2834		

	ALEX W. MOK	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF. 	he same day as filing a Notice of A pplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the periods.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later if may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	nsion and the corresponding amount of ortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliating the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed on	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but They raise new issues that would require further constant They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co		ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11)							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	OL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	· ·				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. 		be entered and an ex	planation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. □ Other:							
	/BURTON MULLINS/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2834					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation of the profile element being formed as a "unitary helical band with a mating protrusion and groove on opposite sides" is a new issue that would require further consideration.